





#### **MEMORANDUM**

DATE:

December 19,1986

TO:

Gene Taylor/EPA

FROM:

Dave Bunte/HLN

SUBJECT:

ASARCO East Helena

Slag Pile Seepage Computations

COPIES:

John Lucero/DEN Chuck Feast/BOI Dick Glanzman/DEN

### INTRODUCTION

This memo summarizes computations that were made to help evaluate the results of the current slag test basin and the planned slag bottle roll tests. These computations provide an estimate of the arsenic concentration in the seepage from the slag pile that would be necessary to impact the groundwater quality.

The approach taken was to calculate what arsenic concentration in the seepage from the slag pile would raise the concentration in the groundwater from background to the drinking water standard (0.05 mg/L). calculations were made for a range of infiltration rates from the slag pile to the groundwater.

This memo covers the basis for the calculations, a description of the calculations, and a discussion of the results. Additionally the full calculations are attached to this memo.

# BASIS FOR CALCULATIONS

The calculations were based on the following assumptions:

The quantity of water that percolates through the slag pile and infiltrates the groundwater is a fraction of the precipitation that falls on the slag pile. The percentages of precipitation to reach the groundwater used in these calculations were 5, 10, 25, and 50.

- The background arsenic concentration in the groundwater was taken to be the average of the three upgradient wells: DH-1, DH-2, and DH-3 (0.0085 mg/L).
- The flow of groundwater under the slag pile was calculated from the flow rate presented by Hydrometrics (February, 1986) for the groundwater flow west of Prickly Pear Creek and east of Wilson Ditch.
- O A parcel of groundwater 10 feet wide and 10 feet deep running under the slag pile was used as the boundaries for the calculation. The length of this parcel was set at 1000 feet based on the dimensions of the slag pile and the estimated direction of groundwater flow.

# CALCULATIONS

The calculations will be presented here for the case where 10 percent of the precipitation that falls on the slag pile infiltrates the groundwater.

Using the area of slag that will cover the previously described parcel of groundwater as  $10,000~\rm{ft}^2$  ( $10~\rm{ft}~\rm{X}~1000~\rm{ft}$ ), the average annual volume of precipitation to fall on this area will be 9,500 ft. This is based on an annual precipitation of  $11.4~\rm{inches}$  (Hydrometrics Feb.,1986). Taking 10 percent of this annual volume and converting the units results in a flow of  $0.051~\rm{liters}$  per minute.

The influent flow of groundwater through this parcel is 9.4 liters per minute. This is based on Hydrometrics estimate of a flow of 1492 gallons per minute through a cross-sectional area of 60,000 ft $^3$  and converting to a flow through our 100 ft $^3$  cross-sectional area.

Calculating a mass balance around this system shows that the seepage from the slag pile would need to have an arsenic concentration of 7.7 mg/L in order to raise the groundwater concentration from 0.0085 mg/L to 0.05 mg/L. Completing the calculations for the other percentages of precipitation reaching the groundwater results in the following:

Percentage of Precipitation Reaching Groundwater	Calc. As Concentration in Seepage Needed to Raise Groundwater to 0.05 mg As/L
5	15
10	7.7
25	3.1

1.6

50

# DISCUSSION OF RESULTS

These results show that an arsenic concentration of 15 mg/L in the seepage is needed to raise the groundwater to 0.05~mg/L if only five percent of the precipitation reaches the groundwater. However, if 50 percent of the precipitation reaches the groundwater, the concentration would have to be 1.6 mg/L to have the same effect. The results of these calculations help to put the impact of the seepage from the slag pile in perspective with other sources of groundwater contamination. For example, if it is assumed that 10 percent of the precipitation reaches the groundwater and the seepage from the slag pile is shown to have a concentration of less than approximately 7.7 mg/L (from the tests in progress), then then the contribution of the slag pile to the groundwater contamination can be This is considering the considered small relative to other sources. groundwater under the slag pile has a concentration of 10 mg As/L in some areas and the 7.7 mg/L seepage would only raise the arsenic concentration If, however, there were no other sources of groundwater contamination then this impact could be considered significant.

The limitations of these calculations must be considered when evaluating the results. These limitations include:

- o The seepage rate through the slag pile was based on a yearly average and short term impacts could be much greater than calculated here.
- o A calculated average groundwater flow was used, and substantial variations from this flow could occur in localized areas resulting in impacts other than calculated here.

СКМНІЦ

PROJECT NO. \_ W 64620.PN Purpose To defermine the assence concentrations in the stop pele that would couse change in the groundwater quality. the sopool a significant m The "significant change" was set sor the accombination of some set sor the accordance required to raise the account contraction from back ground to doubting water standard (0.05 mg/L). Approach: Estemate part ground aisence doncontration in goundwater. Use averge of OH-1, OH-2, and OH-3. Define boundarin for colonletions. 27 Select parcel et groundworter under stag pile with a Cross-sectional area (perpendicular to groundworter floor) of 100 ft. 2 (10 ft. wide and 108t. Deep. select length of parcel as 1000 ft. This is based on the summion of the skap pile and the direction of the skap pile of under the sile. Typical length of Use a percentage of the annual precipitation of the amount of precipitation that percentage through the steer and institutes the groundwater under the stop pile use the seventage 50, 25, 10, and 5 to develop the sensitivity to the variable Basin: the all ASARCO analytical requ ex les than defection limit . Also, average reple realin prior to calculation. calculation of ground water flow. Use flow calculation of ground water flow. Use flow givin Sor area carl of wison dutch and west of Prickly Poar Creek.

SUBJECT Slog Pile 50 poro

BY\_ 213\_\_\_

0160114 DATE 12/22\_ PROJECT NO. W 64620. PU

11.4 maker as 3] overage annuel precipatale Use rate ( H. do medica, Feb, 1986) Calculations: Back ground As concentration: (ale from Hydroselnice Feb 186) - DH - 1 and ses - 60004, 0.007, 4.007, 4004; Aug = 0.0055 - OH-2 and yses = 0.008, 0.008, 0.007; Aug = 0.0103 + 0.007, 0.008 0.012, 0012, Aug = 0.0098 Average 0.0085 mg As from stag pile Coloulate As concentration eld be necessary to rouge qui conc 10% of precip. Precipilation: (OC1 x cooff) Subject to 10,000 Sie area about parcel of ground water previously. 10,000 FR × 11.4 in 184 9,500 Stilxs 12 in 10% reaching For 9,500 845 10.051 L 365 Pay 243 1440 min Groundwater flow: (Feb, 1986), aw flow = 1492 apm se sectional area. For 100 ft 2 am From Hydromasica for 60,000 ft2 cross 3 1492 gallon x 100 512 2049 gallon 2.49 gal x 3.785 L = 9.414/mi

СКМНІЦ

AS conc in sopage . (0.472-0.02) min x 0.0266

СКМНІЦ

- BY\_\_\_\_\_\_ 0160116 - BY\_\_\_\_\_\_ DATE 12/2-7. - SHEET\_4\_OF\_4\_ SUBJECT \_\_\_Slag Pile Sompage Calis\_\_\_ СЖМНІЦ PROJECT NO. \_ W 64620 PN Summary: oncentration of As than the seepage Crom the land of a groundwate of the As in the groundwate of the day of the seepage of the As that Shown below would be groundwater Heronga is shown for four Peccentego of Precipilation Perceptating through the Stag Pile and Reaching Gle of As in the Seepage Concentration bo Rouse GW to 0.05mg/L mg As // 50 25 10 REV.